	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/440,340	HINCE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah K. Ware	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3) Eirc Hince.	
(2) <u>David Naff</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: previous rejection removed but discussed potential new	rejection under 35 USC 112	
Claims discussed: all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: No art discussed.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the provide and the	on. The examiner will provide a vocation of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
John K. Ware		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiners proposed exmainer's amendment to place case into condition for allowance but the Applicant did not find the changes acceptable at this time and urged that support may be found in the specification for claims 68-69 and 74. However, Applicant did agree to changes regarding the objections to claims 76-77 but no changes made at this time since case has not been put into condition for allowance.